

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,291	12/27/2000	Gary Nachreiner	, 687-396	6326
7590 03/21/2006			EXAMINER	
Jeffrey J. Hohenshell			THALER, MICHAEL H	
AMS Research Corporation 10700 Bren Road West			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minnetonka, MN 55343			3731	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Aı	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		0	9/749,291	NACHREINER E	NACHREINER ET AL.			
		E	kaminer	Art Unit				
		M	ichael Thaler	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) munication. tatutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMU In no event, however, may only and will expire SIX (6) No se the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
•			ion is non-final.					
/	-							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.							
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖾	Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to restrict	on and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign prid	ority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	-						
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of th	ne certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmen	tie)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) \square Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date				
—	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice 6 6) Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/749,291

Art Unit: 3731

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 16-19, 31-36 and 47-54, drawn to method of cutting stent stock, classified in class 219, subclass 121.67.
- II. Claims 9-15 and 20-30, drawn to a device insertable into a cutting machine chuck, classified in class 219, subclass 160.
- III. Claims 37-46, drawn to a stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. For example, it could be used to hold stent stock which is cut by a mechanical cutter instead of a focused heat source.

Art Unit: 3731

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as being secured by a device without an activation dowel having an angled portion as defined in claim 9. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the

Application/Control Number: 09/749,291

Art Unit: 3731

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

mht 3/13/06 MICHAEL THALER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3731

Page 5